1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 3 In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) Master File No. 07-5944 SC ANTITRUST LITIGATION 4 MDL No. 1917 This Document Relates to: 5 6 Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cy-05513-SC 7 Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et 8 DECLARATION OF JONATHAN J. al.. No. 13-cv-05264-SC ROSS IN SUPPORT OF DIRECT 9 ACTION PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO Target Corp. v. Chunghwa Pictures Tubes, 10 SEAL PORTIONS OF THEIR Ltd., et al., No. 3:07-cv-05514-SC REPLY AND EXHIBITS TO THEIR **MOTIONS IN LIMINE (NOS. 1-18)** 11 Target Corp. v. Technicolor SA, et al., Case No. 3:11-cv-05514-SC 12 The Honorable Samuel Conti 13 Alfred H. Siegel, as Trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust v. Hitachi, Ltd., 14 et al.. No. 11-cv-05502-SC 15 Alfred H. Siegel, as Trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust v. Technicolor SA, et 16 al., No. 13-cv-05261-SC 17 Sears, Roebuck and Co., et. al. v. Chunghwa 18 Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-5514 19 Sharp Electronics Corporation, et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 13-cv-01173-SC 20 21 Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., et al., 22 No. 13-cv-2776-SC 23 ViewSonic Corporation v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al., No. 14-cv-02510 24 25 26 27 28

DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER

SEAL

Master File No. 3:07-cv-5944 SC

I, Jonathan J. Ross hereby declare as follows:

- I am attorney and counsel for plaintiff Alfred H. Siegel, solely in his capacity as
 Trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust.
- 2. I submit this declaration in support of Direct Action Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to Seal Portions of Their Reply and Exhibits in Support of Their Motions in Limine (Nos. 1-18) Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(d).
- 3. Portions of Direct Action Plaintiffs' Reply contain excerpts from and/or statements derived from documents and testimony which have been designated "confidential" or "highly confidential" pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order governing this litigation [D.E. 306, June 18, 2008] ("Stipulated Protective Order"). The confidential/highly confidential designations were made by certain defendants in this litigation. To qualify as confidential or highly confidential under the Stipulated Protective Order, information must contain trade secrets or other confidential research, development, or commercial information or private or commercially sensitive information. Stipulated Protective Order ¶ 1.
- 4. The Stipulated Protective Order requires that a party may not file any confidential material in the public record. Stipulated Protective Order ¶ 10. The Stipulated Protective Order further provides that any party seeking to file any confidential material under seal must comply with Civil Local Rule 79-5. Stipulated Protective Order at ¶¶ 1, 10.
 - 5. The highlighted portions of Plaintiffs' Reply contain such material.
- 6. Ex. F to the Ross Declaration, an excerpt from the August 5, 2014, Reply Expert Report of Dr. Dennis Carlton, contains such material.
- 7. Therefore, Direct Action Plaintiffs respectfully request an order sealing portions of Direct Action Plaintiffs' Reply.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.